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SUMMARY

The Dalmatian Pelican *Pelecanus crispus* is classified today as Vulnerable within its whole geographical distribution, from the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia to Mongolia (Collar *et al.* 1994). Its present world breeding population is estimated at 3,215–4,280 pairs, more than 80% being in the former U.S.S.R. – Kazakhstan, Russian Federation, Turkmenistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan. The European population occupies Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, Romania, Russian Federation, Turkey, Ukraine and Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia only).

Rose and Scott (1994) estimate the world population to be c.12,000–16,000 individuals. Since the second half of the nineteenth century the Dalmatian Pelican has never stopped declining, due to disturbance and degradation of wetlands, hunting and colony destruction by fishermen.

**Threats and limiting factors**

* Drainage and habitat degradation in breeding and wintering areas - critical
* Powerlines - high
* Disturbance, nest destruction and shooting - high
* Contamination by heavy metals and pesticides - medium to low
* Climatic changes - unknown

**Conservation priorities**

* Legal protection of the species and its habitat during breeding and wintering in all range states - essential
* Sustainable management of wetlands - essential
* Establishment of wardened non-intrusion zones around breeding colonies - essential
* Appropriate vegetation and hydrological management - essential
* Monitoring of breeding, wintering numbers and ecological change at key sites - essential
* Burial of powerlines or replacement with thick cable - high
* Monitoring of conservation measures taken and hydrological studies - high
* Public awareness campaign aimed at decision makers, hunters, fishermen and local communities - high
INTRODUCTION

The Dalmatian Pelican *Pelecanus crispus* is classified by IUCN as globally threatened in the category Vulnerable (Groombridge 1993), and this is unchanged under the new criteria (Category C2a: small population and declining with severe fragmentation) (Collar *et al.* 1994, Mace and Stuart 1994). At the European level it is considered Vulnerable (Tucker and Heath 1994).

The species is included on Appendix II of the Bern Convention, on Annex I of the EU Wild Birds Directive, on Appendix I of CITES, on Appendix II of the Bonn Convention and in the Agreement for the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA) under the Bonn Convention.

This action plan identifies the main threats and the main actions to be taken in order to enhance the population of this species and restore its habitat. It covers c.30% of the species' world breeding population and 25–30% of its wintering population. It is therefore necessary to implement the plan not only in Europe, but over the whole distribution of the species. Crivelli and collaborators (pers. comm.) have hypothesised that there are several subpopulations almost totally isolated from each other; it is thus practicable to implement the action plan as a first step in Europe only, and subsequently to extend it to the whole range of the species.

PART 1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Distribution and population

During ancient times pelicans appear to have been spread widely through western Europe. Considering that the temperature during the Paleolithic period was 2–3°C higher than today it is possible that the Dalmatian Pelican bred over a large part of western Europe at this time (Crivelli and Vizi 1981). During this century and last, a strong decline has occurred in Europe with breeding colonies disappearing in former Yugoslavia, Hungary, Albania, Greece, Mongolia, former U.S.S.R., Romania and Turkey (Crivelli and Vizi 1981). Today, the species breeds in Albania, Bulgaria, Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia only), Greece, Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Romania, Russian Federation, Turkey, Turkmenistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan (Crivelli 1994).

The best estimate of the world population is 3,215–4,280 pairs (Crivelli 1994). The former U.S.S.R. (Kazakhstan, Russian Federation, Turkmenistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan) harbours 80–84% of this, and the next most important country, Greece, has 6–8%. European numbers (Table 1) are estimated at 886–1,204 pairs (c.30% of the world population).
Table 1. Numbers of breeding Dalmatian Pelicans *Pelecanus crispus* in Europe (modified from Crivelli 1994).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Breeding pairs (year of census)</th>
<th>Number of breeding sites</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>40–70 (1990s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peja <em>et al.</em> (in press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>70–90 (1990s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T. Michev (pers. comm.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Serbia only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>190–260 (1990s)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Catsadorakis <em>et al.</em> (in press), A. J. Crivelli and D. Hatzilacou (unpubl. data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>70–150 (1990s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B. Kiss and M. Marinov (pers. comm.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>400–450</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>Crivelli <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>100–150 (1990s)</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>Peja <em>et al.</em> (in press)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>6–14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lysenko (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>886–1,204</strong></td>
<td><strong>15–17</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wintering sites of the Dalmatian Pelican in south-east Europe, Turkey and former U.S.S.R. are well-known (Crivelli *et al.* 1991a), but this does not apply to the Middle East (Iran, Iraq) or Asia (Pakistan, India, China) (Crivelli *et al.* 1991b), and several sites in these areas probably remain to be discovered. The January 1993 mid-winter counts in the Black Sea and Mediterranean (Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, Syria and Turkey) gave a figure of 1,463 individuals (P. Rose pers. comm.) and in the Middle East and Asia 4,803 individuals (Perennou *et al.* 1994). These numbers are low considering that the world population in January (including immatures) should be 12,000–16,000 individuals.

**Life history**

* Breeding

Breeding colonies are located on lakes, deltas and estuaries, preferably within reedbeds. Breeding birds usually arrive in February, and laying generally occurs 10 days later. The birds lay up to four eggs and the average clutch is 1.8. Incubation lasts 31–32 days and fledging takes 11–12 weeks (Crivelli *et al.* 1991b). The main mortality during breeding is at the egg stage (Crivelli 1987); hatching success varying from 35 to 70%. Contrary to
common belief, the Dalmatian Pelican can easily rear two chicks and fledging success in a well-protected colony is over one chick per nest, up to a maximum of 1.35 (Catsadorakis et al. in press). Even in a protected area, however, breeding success can be less than one chick fledged per nest. At Srébarna, a Nature Reserve in Bulgaria, for example, average success between 1955 and 1993 was 0.84 chicks per nest with a coefficient of variation of 30% (T. Michev verbally 1994); this lower success might be explained by predation, especially by wild boars Sus scrofa destroying nests with eggs or killing chicks.

With the present state of knowledge of the population dynamics of pelicans it would appear that a success rate in the Dalmatian Pelican of 0.8 chicks per nest should be at least sufficient to keep the population stable. A success rate of over one chick per nest should ensure an increasing population (Crivelli 1987).

* **Wintering**

The breeding areas are vacated in autumn, and the main winter quarters are located in coastal areas of the Mediterranean and Caspian Seas and the Persian Gulf, often in deltas. There are few wintering sites inland, when unfrozen water and a rich food supply are the key factors. Suitable sites for night-roosting, safe from terrestrial predators, are also an important requirement.

* **Feeding**

Dalmatian Pelicans eat only fish and feed alone or in groups (Crivelli et al. 1991b). The composition of the diet depends almost entirely on the relative abundance of prey species, on their spatial and temporal distribution, and to a lesser extent on their behaviour. In lagoon systems the birds will catch mainly migratory fish such as eels Anguilla anguilla, mullets Mugil and sedentary fish such as gobies Gobius and sand-smelts Atherina (Crivelli 1987, Peja et al. in press, A. Crivelli, D. Hatzilacou and Ebert verbally). In inland fresh waters, preferred species are Cyprinidae such as roach Rutilus, bleak Alburnus, rudd Scardinius, carp Cyprinus carpio and others (Andone et al. 1969, Crivelli and Vizi 1981, Crivelli 1987, Romashova 1994). Fish taken range in length from 3 to 50 cm. Birds sometimes feed far away from the breeding colony.

* **Habitat requirements**

Dalmatian Pelicans are absent from cold regions, although they will tolerate temperatures below 0°C for short periods (7–10 days). Originally, the species was probably found only in fresh water inland, but today there are a few colonies in brackish lagoon ecosystems in the Mediterranean region (Peja et al. in press).

For breeding and roosting the birds need areas totally isolated from the mainland by water (e.g. islands, sand banks, reedbeds surrounded by water) in order to avoid predation by mammals (foxes, dogs, wolves, wild boars, jackals, etc.) and disturbance. The absence of adequate roosting areas can prevent pelicans using a site at any time of year.

The hydrological regime within wetlands is a further key factor in successful breeding (Catsadorakis et al. in press), and also in the pelicans’ use of wetlands for other purposes. For example, the presence of shallow water is important for the successful spawning of fish which form the birds' food, and Dalmatian Pelicans need wetlands with a rather high
density of fish. Water transparency and depth are not important factors for successful foraging.

Threats and limiting factors

* Disruption of hydrological regimes and habitat degradation in breeding and wintering areas
This is the most important factor in the decline in most countries. Drainage of wetlands and development schemes (land reclamation and irrigation) caused the abandonment of many breeding colonies and has reduced considerably the number of wetlands which can be used by Dalmatian Pelicans. Burning of reedbeds in spring is a common practice which could be very detrimental to the breeding habitat. Long-term eutrophication of wetlands is also considered a negative factor.

Water management is a common feature in all wetlands today. Such management and exceptional climatic events (drought or flood) have important impacts on the breeding of pelicans (Catsadorakis et al. in press) and on their use of wetlands (e.g. Lake Kerkini in Greece: Pyrovetsi 1990, Pyrovetsi and Papastergiadou 1992, Crivelli et al. 1995a,b). Other striking examples are the recent ecological changes in the Aral Sea in Kazakhstan or at Lake Lop in China which have led to the disappearance of the breeding populations of Dalmatian Pelicans.

Importance: critical

* Contamination by heavy metals and pesticides
High concentrations of DDE were found in eggs collected at Lake Prespa (northern Greece) in 1984–1986, eggshell thickness being 12–20% less than pre-1947 values, dating from before DDT was in use (Crivelli et al. 1989). Later investigations (1989) showed a sharp decrease in the concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons. Other recent studies have also detected concentrations of heavy metals and chlorinated hydrocarbons in Dalmatian Pelican eggs (Cook 1992, Albanis 1993, T. Michev verbally 1994). Poslavski and Chernov (1994) showed that hatching success is very low mainly due to a low eggshell thickness, a direct consequence of the heavy use of pesticides for cotton cultivation in the Aral Sea area.

Importance: medium to low

* Powerlines
Crivelli et al. (1988) identified powerlines as a significant cause of mortality in one wintering area, mainly through collision, and the problem was also encountered subsequently at a breeding site (Crivelli et al. 1991b) and in many other areas. Removal of powerlines, the addition of plastic flags as markers, and the use of thick cables have been tested with successful results (Crivelli et al. 1991a, G. Catsadorakis and A. Crivelli verbally 1994, H. Jerrentrup verbally 1994).

Importance: high

* Disturbance, nest destruction and shooting
In many countries, disturbance is a major threat, especially in the breeding season (e.g. birdwatchers and photographers, normally from western countries). Destruction of colonies by fishermen still occurs, though it is becoming rarer. Shooting, mainly from
autumn to spring, is still common in all countries, and it is not unusual to find shot birds in most of the wetlands used by Dalmatian Pelicans. There are also numerous reports of pelicans shot at fish-farms or aquaculture installations in Greece, Israel, Romania, former U.S.S.R. and former Yugoslavia (Heins et al. 1990, Pyrovetsi 1990, G. Handrinos verbally 1994, T. Nazirides verbally 1994, G. Catsadorakis verbally 1994, D. Hatzilakou verbally 1994, Society for the Protection of Nature in Israel verbally 1994). Such shooting is a threat which has not been correctly assessed up to now.

Importance: high

* **Climatic changes**

Krivenko et al. (1994) have shown that cyclical changes in climate could be important in determining distribution and abundance of pelicans in arid and semi-arid regions (e.g. Kazakhstan). In terms of short-term climatic cycles, an increase in pelican numbers is observed in cool, wet periods and a decline in warm, dry periods. With global warming, and an increase of man's influence on water management, the importance of this limiting factor could increase in the future.

Importance: unknown

Conservation status and recent conservation measures

Note: “protected area” means “designated as protected area”.

* **Albania**

**Legislation.** The Dalmatian Pelican has been protected since 1988 by the “Hunting Law”.

**Distribution.** Breeding: Karavasta lagoon.

Wintering and migration: all along the Adriatic coast and lakes in central Albania.

**Key sites.** Breeding: Karavasta lagoon (unprotected).

Foraging: Lake Megali Prespa.

Wintering: Karavasta and Narta lagoons.

% of population in protected areas. Breeding: 0%.

Wintering: 0%.

**Conservation efforts.**

• Warden hired during the breeding season (April–July) since 1992 at Karavasta lagoon under the International Pelicans Research, Management and Conservation Programme (Project leader: A. J. Crivelli).

**Research.** See Barbieri et al. (1986), Lamani (1989), Gjiknuri and Peja (1992), Hagemeijer (1994), Peja et al. (in press), Vangeluwe et al. (in press).

* **Bulgaria**

**Red Data Book.** Listed as Threatened (Anon. 1985).

**Legislation.** Protected by law since 1948. The species is listed in the Act 342/21.04.1986 of the Ministry of Environment and a fine of US$460 plus an additional penalty is planned for any killed bird or collected egg. Hunting is banned at all wintering or passage sites.

**Distribution.** Breeding: Lake Srébarna.

Wintering and migration: Black Sea coast area and a few inland wetlands.

**Key sites.** Breeding: Lake Srébarna (Nature Reserve).

Foraging: Danube river, Romanian wetlands north from Srébarna.
Wintering and migration: Lakes Burgas, Atanasovo and Mandra, and Ovcharitza and Studen kladenetz reservoirs.

% of population in protected areas. Breeding: 100%.

Wintering: 25%.

Conservation efforts.
- Building one artificial raft; no successful breeding (T. Michev and colleagues).
- Burning the reedbed and fencing the breeding colony as measures against predators, mainly wild boars and jackals (T. Michev and colleagues, BSPB); led to an increase in the number of breeding birds.
- Public awareness programme with permanent TV display of the breeding colony at Srébarna (Srébarna Nature Reserve Authorities).
- Educational and public awareness materials involving the media to create a positive attitude towards the species.
- Updating the Act, protecting the species by increasing the fine to US$447.80 for killing a bird and collecting eggs.
- National Wetlands Plan including priority actions for the conservation of the most important wetlands in Bulgaria (1993) (Ministry of Environment and BSPB).
- Preparation of a management plan for Srébarna Nature Reserve with the support of the Ramsar Convention (Ministry of Environment).
- Attempts to improve the enforcement of the legislation and to ensure wardening of the pelican colony at Srébarna by employing four wardens (Ministry of Environment).

Research.
- Monitoring and ringing at the Srébarna colony.
- Monitoring of the Dalmatian Pelicans migrating in autumn over the Burgas area since 1978 (T. Michev and colleagues, BSPB).
- Midwinter counts since 1977 (T. Michev and colleagues, BSPB).

See also Michev (1981), Anon. (1985), Simeonov et al. (1990), Crivelli et al. (1991b).

* Greece


Legislation. Declared a “species of high protection” (Decision of Ministry of Agriculture, 414985/1985); hunting of it is thus prohibited.

Distribution. Breeding: northern Greece (Prespa) and south-west Greece (Amvrakikos).

Wintering and migration: northern Greece, and south-west Greece.

Key sites. Breeding: Prespa lakes (National Park) and Amvrakikos Gulf (Tsoukalio and Logarou lagoons, Ramsar site).


% of population in protected areas. Breeding: 100%.

Wintering: 90%.

Conservation efforts.
Two round table meetings of experts and interested parties were held in 1990 with a view to drawing up a national action plan. Although this has not been published, it remains the main single source of information on the species in Greece. Specific conservation measures have included:
- Surveillance of the Tsoukalio breeding colony (Amvrakikos area) over three years (HOS).
• Continuing efforts to remove all pelicans held illegally as pets or as tourist attractions (Forestry Service, Ministry of Agriculture verbally 1994).
• Building of three artificial islands at Kerkini by the Sidirokastro Forest Service in 1993: no breeding attempts in 1994 (T. Nazirides verbally 1994).
• Publication of a leaflet, a poster and other relevant awareness materials by the Society for the Protection of Prespa. (M. Malakou verbally 1994).
• A study for the zoning of Prespa National Park according to the EU Wild Birds Directive (Catsadorakis et al. 1988).
• A study at Lake Kerkini, 1982–1994, on the effects on vegetation, fisheries and waterbirds of raising water-levels at this Ramsar site (Crivelli et al. 1995a,b).
• Education programme at Amvrakikos (HOS).
• In Prespa, which holds the largest colony in Greece, a continuous effort has been made since 1983 by IPRMCP in collaboration with local authorities, public services and NGOs (especially the locally based SPP) to ensure the safety and increase of the breeding Dalmatian Pelicans. Among numerous activities, the following should be mentioned:
  - Continuous monitoring, 1983–1994, of pelican numbers, nesting attempts, breeding success and population dynamics; environmental factors are also monitored in order to understand the causes of population change.
  - In 1988 and 1989 in collaboration with the Greek National Power Corporation, the marking of powerlines with plastic flags. In 1990, because the flags had deteriorated, the conventional dangerous powerlines were substituted with one thick (and thus more visible) insulated cable; this has proved very effective, and no collisions have occurred since.
  - In 1988–1994 a detailed survey and study of each pelican breeding site was carried out to identify nest-site preferences in order to permit construction of artificial nest-sites.
  - In 1987 a series of artificial rafts, 3 x 4 m and made with timber and reeds, was built during the winter. In 1988 one raft was installed, but was used only for roosting; in 1989 four pairs bred successfully on it. In 1990, three rafts were installed and 21 nests were made on them; eggs were laid, but were abandoned due to human disturbance. In 1991, nine rafts were installed, and these successfully hosted 52 nests of White Pelicans P. onocrotalus and Dalmatian Pelicans.
  - 1993–1994: education programme with a focus on pelicans, by SPP with IPRMCP as consultants; more than 7,000 students attended.
  - 1993–1994: education programme of the SPP on the values of Prespa and the pelicans for all schools of Prespa (IPRMCP collaborators as consultants).
  - Wardening of the breeding colony by volunteers in 1989 (at the request of the Prespa Centre for Man and Nature) organised by IPRMCP. In 1990–1994 a warden was hired with funds from WWF and IPRMCP at the request of SPP.
  - 1994: publication of a poster on pelicans by SPP.
  - 1994: hiring of a local fisherman by SPP for three months during the breeding season to distribute awareness materials to visitors to prevent disturbance of the pelican colony.
  - 1983–1994: continuous collaboration of SPP and IPRMCP scientists with local fishermen to minimise disturbance during the breeding season.
- Continuous collaboration of SPP and IPRMCP scientists with the Florina Forest Service to stop disturbance of the breeding colonies by visitors.
- 1991: installation of signs with information on the vulnerability of pelican colonies and the ban on approaching them, by the Florina Forest Service, at the request of and under the advice of SPP and IPRMCP.
- 1991–1994: marking a non-intrusion zone around the main pelican breeding island with the installation of floating buoys in collaboration with the Forest Service and SPP and IPRMCP scientists.

• Actions carried out by the Aristotle University team to create a new breeding habitat at Lake Kerkini (project leader M. Pyrovetsi, funded by ACNAT). The management measures were accompanied by the following actions to promote successful implementation:
  - Building of artificial structures at Lake Kerkini: one dredged-soil islet (1987); two floating rafts (1988); two platforms made of tree-branches and two dredged-soil islets (1991); one metal stable structure with floating platform (1992). Dalmatian Pelicans nested on the dredged-soil islet in 1990, but breeding was interrupted as a result of egg theft. The structures have been used extensively by pelicans and other waterbirds for roosting.
  - 1991: production of a poster about management at Kerkini by the above organisation and NGOs. Production of six posters about Dalmatian Pelicans at Lake Mikri Prespa, thanks to the British Council.

• Actions undertaken by the HOS for the conservation of Dalmatian Pelican in Greece:
  - A study funded by RSPB entitled “The distribution of the globally endangered Dalmatian Pelican (Pelecanus crispus Bruch) in Greece: threats pertaining to its habitats and recommendations for protection” (Hatzilacou 1993) The aim of this study, which was an initial step in the preparation of this action plan, was to provide detailed up-to-date information on the distribution of the Dalmatian Pelican in Greece, to outline current and imminent threats pertaining to the species' habitats and to recommend actions for protection. It has been widely distributed to NGOs, the Ministries of Environment and Agriculture and to the environment services of prefectures where Dalmatian Pelican habitat is present (Evros, Rodopi, Xanthi, Serres, Kastoria, Florina, Thesprotia, Arta, Preveza, Agrinio) in order to inform the local authorities about the specific problems of
the Dalmatian Pelican in each region and to highlight sensitive issues which must be taken into consideration during the drafting of local management plans.

**Research.** Present projects:
- Management and development of biotopes of Dalmatian Pelican in northern Greece (project leader M. Pyrovetsi).
- IPRMCP (project leader A. J. Crivelli).


* **Romania**

**Legislation.** Protected by law as a National Monument.

**Distribution.**
- **Breeding:** Danube delta (Biosphere Reserve, Ramsar site).
  - **Wintering and migration:** None.

**Key sites.**
- **Breeding:** Danube delta (Lake Lejai in Sf Gheorghe area).
  - **Wintering and migration:** None.

**% of population in protected areas.**
- **Breeding:** 100%.
  - **Wintering:** None.

**Conservation efforts.**
- Educational material on the species.
- Public awareness programme for the Danube Delta (ROS/BirdLife International)


* **Russian Federation**


**Legislation.** Ban on hunting.

**Distribution.**
- **Breeding:** Russian Federation.
  - **Wintering and migration:** Caspian sea coast (Russian Federation and Azerbaijan) and Turkmenistan.

**Key sites.**
- **Breeding:** Terek delta (Local Reserve), Kirov Bay area, Kura delta, Kuban delta (Hunting Reserve), Volga delta (Hunting Reserve) and Lake Manych-Gudilo (State Reserve).
  - **Wintering and migration:** Kura delta, Bol'shoy Kyzyl-Agachsky Gulf.

**% of population in protected areas.**
- **Breeding:** <45%.
  - **Wintering:** <20%.

**Conservation efforts.**
- Building artificial rafts in the Volga delta; successful breeding during several years until rafts deteriorated (Bondarev 1976).


* **Turkey**

**Red Data Book.** Listed as Endangered in the Draft List of Threatened Animals of Turkey (Ministry of Environment).
**Legislation.** Protected by law since 1974.

**Distribution.** *Breeding:* Mainly western Anatolia, also along Black Sea coast and in central Anatolia.  
*Wintering and migration:* Mainly coastal wetlands in western and southern Turkey and a few inland wetlands.

**Key sites.** *Breeding:* Büyük Menderes delta (National Park) and Kizilirmak delta (unprotected), Lakes Manyas (National Park) and Akshehir and Camalti Tuzlasi (Nature Reserve).  
*Wintering and migration:* Goksu, Büyük Menderes and Meric deltas, Lakes Manyas, Bafa, Marmara and Isikli, and Camalti Tuzlasi.

**% of population in protected areas.** *Breeding:* >90%.  
*Wintering:* >75%.

**Conservation efforts.**  
* Building of artificial platforms in trees at Lake Manyas; successful breeding for more than 25 years (T. Gurpinar).  
* Education and conservation programme in several wetlands (DHKD) including the Menderes delta for four years.  
* After three years of campaigning by DHKD, Menderes delta has been declared a National Park and Lake Bafa a Nature Park.  
* DHKD employed and supervised a warden for the conservation of the pelican colonies in the Menderes delta for three breeding seasons.  
* Printing of a pelican poster and brochure (DHKD).

**Research.**  
* Monitoring and ringing in Menderes and Kizilirmak deltas (DHKD).  
* Monitoring and ringing at Camalti Tuzlasi (M. Siki).  
* Search for colonies (DHKD).


* Ukraine  

**Legislation.** Ban on hunting only.

**Distribution.** *Breeding:* Danube delta.  
*Wintering and migration:* Caspian Sea coast (Russian Federation and Azerbaijan) and Turkmenistan.

**Key sites.** *Breeding:* Lake Kugurlui (unprotected) in the Danube delta.  
*Wintering and migration:* Kura delta, Bol'shoi Kyzyl-Agachsky Gulf.

**% of population in protected areas.** *Breeding:* 0%.  
*Wintering:* 0%.

**Conservation efforts.** None.


* Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia only)  

**Legislation.** Legally protected in Serbia.

**Distribution.** *Breeding:* Lake Skadar (Montenegro).  
*Wintering and migration:* none.

**Key sites.** *Breeding:* Lake Skadar (National Park) (Montenegro Province).  
*Foraging:* Lakes Skadar and Megali Prespa (former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia).
Wintering and migration: none.

% of population in protected areas. Breeding: 100%.

Wintering: no wintering.

Conservation efforts.

- Creation of a National Park at Lake Skadar.


PART 2. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

AIMS

1. In the short term, to prevent any further declines below 1994 levels in the population size and distribution of the Dalmatian Pelican.

2. In the medium to long term, to increase the population size of the Dalmatian Pelican to a level at which it no longer qualifies as a globally threatened species.

OBJECTIVES

1. POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE

1.1. Legislation
The legal protection during breeding and winter of Dalmatian Pelicans and key sites for the species should be encouraged in all range states.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short

1.2. Taking of birds
Establish a total ban on catching chicks or adults for zoos or tourist purposes.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short

1.3. Promote sustainable development in wetlands
An integrated approach to the conservation of wetlands should be promoted which will also benefit the conservation of other species. Such an approach will need to address the protection of sites from development, pollution, changes in the hydrological regime, tourism and fishing policy, etc. The welfare of the local people should also be taken into account. The involvement of local communities in conservation and management measures is of critical importance.

The Dalmatian Pelican is considered as a priority species in the coastal and inland wetland European conservation strategies currently being prepared by BirdLife International (Tucker et al. in press)
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

1.4. International cooperation
Establish and enhance cooperation on the conservation of trans-border wetlands. Promote international collaboration and information exchange.
1.5. National species action plan
It is recommended that in each country a body is designated to prepare a detailed national action plan for the species. The same body would also be responsible for coordinating the implementation of the national action plan. Preparation of such plans will provide an opportunity to further develop objectives involving further integrated and interdisciplinary work as well as specific policies. Organisations responsible for the implementation of each action should be identified at this stage.

Priority: medium
Time-scale: short
2. SPECIES AND HABITAT PROTECTION

2.1. Site protection

2.1.1. Designation as protected areas
The designation of all breeding, key feeding areas and wintering sites should be promoted in all range states.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: medium

2.1.2. Hunting
The implementation of the ban on hunting in all wintering and staging areas where Dalmatian Pelicans occur in large numbers should be encouraged with high penalties for those found guilty of harming Dalmatian Pelicans.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short

2.1.3. Wardening
Encourage the establishment of statutory temporary or permanently warded zones around the colonies, forbidding human intrusion including fishermen, birdwatchers and photographers. Scientists should be allowed to visit breeding colonies only with permission of the appropriate national body.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short

2.2. Site management

2.2.1. Vegetation management
Promote a ban on burning (or cutting) of reedbeds in spring within the breeding areas. Encourage measures to restore wetland sites within the range of the Dalmatian Pelican.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

2.2.2. Hydrological management
Carry out proper water management of the wetlands in which a breeding colony is located and/or in important staging and wintering areas. Promote the restoration of the hydrological regime within the range of the Dalmatian Pelican.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

2.2.3. Powerlines
Dismantle or bury powerlines identified as dangerous for pelicans. If this is not feasible, powerlines should be made visible to the birds in order to avoid collisions.
Priority: high
Time-scale: short

2.2.4. Artificial structures
When appropriate, and only in fully protected areas, provide adequate artificial structures to facilitate breeding or roosting.
2.2.4. Residues and pollution
Prevent dumping of residues, chemical pollution and eutrophication at Dalmatian Pelican sites.
Promote the restoration of sites which have suffered from pollution.
Priority: low
Time-scale: ongoing

3. MONITORING AND RESEARCH

3.1. Breeding birds
Monitor the number of breeding pairs annually using trained people only.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

3.2. Wintering birds
Monitor the number of wintering birds every mid-January, preferably by counting birds at roosting sites.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

3.3. Ecological change
Monitor water-level, water quality and ecological change at key wetland sites.
Priority: essential
Time-scale: short/ongoing

3.4. Undertake hydrological studies
These should include the whole water catchment and especially the effects of river diversions and pumping of underground water for irrigation.
Priority: high
Time-scale: medium

3.5. Conservation measures
Regularly monitor and assess the effects of the conservation and management measures taken, and, when necessary, steer actions as appropriate.
Priority: high
Time-scale: short/ongoing
3.6. Prey populations
Monitor fishery catches at the key Dalmatian Pelican sites in collaboration with the official services responsible for collecting such data.
Priority: medium
Time-scale: medium/ongoing

3.7. Causes of mortality
Monitor dead pelicans and undertake research on causes of death.
Priority: low
Time-scale: medium/ongoing

3.8. Socio-ecological aspects
Undertake socio-ecological studies on key wetlands for the species in order to identify existing or potential conflicts between people and pelicans.
Priority: low
Time-scale: medium

3.9. Feeding ecology
Undertake studies on feeding ecology, where this has still not been done, especially in relation to potential conflicts between Dalmatian Pelicans and commercial fishermen, and assess the impact of the birds on the fish populations.
Priority: low
Time-scale: medium

3.10. Dispersal
Monitor and study the dispersal of Dalmatian Pelicans throughout the year by surveys and colour-ringing.
Priority: medium
Time-scale: ongoing

4. PUBLIC AWARENESS AND TRAINING

4.1. Public awareness
The users of wetland resources and decision-makers at local, regional, national and international level should be informed about the plight of the Dalmatian Pelican. Public awareness campaigns should be undertaken with hunters, fishermen, local communities, civil servants and officials involved in Dalmatian Pelican conservation.
Priority: high
Time-scale: short

4.2. Training
Promote training courses and environmental education on wetland issues, and provide training to the trainers.
Priority: medium
Time-scale: short/ongoing
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ANNEX 1. RECOMMENDED CONSERVATION ACTIONS BY COUNTRY

* **Albania**

1.1./2.1.1. Promote the creation of a Nature Reserve or a National Park at Karavasta lagoon. It is recommended that only professional fishermen of the local cooperative be allowed to fish, using fixed traps only and with a ban on fishing within the lagoon. Independent fishing should be totally forbidden.

1.4 Develop cooperative links with NGOs and public bodies in Greece and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia for the conservation of lakes Prespa.

2.1.3. Promote the establishment of a non-intrusion zone of 200 m around the colony at Karavasta.

2.1.3. Hire two wardens from March to July to guard the colony against disturbance and destruction by local people.

2.2.2. Encourage the maintenance of the three connections between the sea and the lagoon by dredging them regularly.

3.1. Census the colony.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts, not only on coastal wetlands but also in inland wetlands.

3.3. Monitor ecological change.

3.9. Continue the study of feeding ecology in the breeding area.

3.10. Carry out colour-ringing.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.

* **Bulgaria**

1.3. Promote the implementation of the national Action Plan for the Conservation of Wetlands in Bulgaria.

1.1./2.1. Establish appropriate protection of the wetlands where pelicans roost, migrate or winter or where they forage during the breeding season.

1.3. Promote sustainable development within the framework of the management plan for Srébarna, including improvement of the conditions for the breeding colony, identifying the main feeding grounds of the pelicans around Srébarna and applying to all conservation projects in Bulgaria.
1.4. Establish cooperation between Bulgarian and Romanian organisations for the preservation of the wetlands northwards of Srébarna where pelicans feed.

2.2.2. Promote restoration of the former hydrological regime at Srébarna Nature Reserve.

2.2.5. Decrease the pollution in the wetlands around the Burgas area.

3.1. Monitor the breeding colony including ringing young birds with colour rings.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change at key wetland sites.

3.9. Identify the main feeding grounds of pelicans nesting in Srébarna.

3.10. Undertake colour-ringning of chicks at the Srébarna colony.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly hunters, fishermen and local communities.

* Greece


1.4. Develop cooperative links with NGOs and public bodies in Albania and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia for the conservation of lakes Prespa.

1.5. Reestablish discussions on a national action plan for the Dalmatian pelican in order to bring this project to fruition.

1.1./2.1.2. Encourage enforcement and implementation of the ban on hunting.

2.1.3. Promote establishment of a non-intrusion zone around all colonies during the breeding period. If needed, such measures could also be implemented in other non-breeding areas important for the species (e.g. Lakes Kastoria and Kerkini).

2.2.1. Encourage enforcement and implementation of the ban on cutting of the riparian forest at Lake Kerkini and restoration of the reedbeds.

2.2.1. Promote management of the reedbeds and restoration of the wet meadows at Lake Prespa.

2.2.2. Recommended hydrological management at Lake Kerkini: (1) find practical alternatives to the planned construction of new dikes; (2) lower the present maximum water-level to 35 m a.s.l. by solving the sediment problem (Psilovikos 1992) through finding new sources of water for agriculture and improving the efficiency of the irrigation network (Bartzoudis and Pyrovetsi 1994).
2.2.2. Recommended hydrological management at Lake Prespa: ensure the maintenance of an April–June water-level of at least 854.6 m a.s.l. favouring efficient spawning of fish and shallow-water areas as feeding grounds. Careful thought should be given to making a new link between Mikri and Megali Prespa including a strict management plan for the use of the new sluice in order to ensure a high water-level in spring.

2.2.3. Promote burial of all powerlines located on the isthmus between the two lakes Prespa or at least install a thick cable along the full length of the powerlines.

2.2.3. Promote installation of thick cable on several powerlines which are dangerous for Dalmatian Pelicans in other areas (Amvrakikos, Kerkini, etc.).


3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change.

3.3. Monitor water quality at wetlands.


3.5. Assess and review the management measures taken during recent years at Lake Kerkini, before any new management is initiated.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly at civil servants, hunters, fishermen and local communities.

4.2. Promote environmental education within the framework of the national educational programme emphasising Dalmatian Pelican conservation.

* Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

1.4 Develop cooperative links with Albanian and Greek NGOs and public bodies for the conservation of lakes Prespa.

2.2.2. Promote measures to stop eutrophication at Lake Megali Prespa by building treatment plants and sewage systems.

* Romania

2.1.3. Promote establishment of a non-intrusion zone around all colonies in the Danube delta during the breeding period.
2.2.2. Encourage restoration of the floodplains within the delta by partial or total
destruction of the dikes along the canals.

3.1. Census the colony.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change at key wetland sites.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted
mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.

* **Russian Federation**

1.1./2.1.1. Encourage the designation as protected area of the whole of Lake Manych-Gudilo and the Kura delta, and enlargement of the protected areas in the Kuban and Volga deltas.

2.1.3. Promote establishment of a non-intrusion zone around all colonies during the
breeding period.

2.2.2. Protect the remaining wetlands with breeding colonies from drainage and water
abstraction and/or water diversion.

2.2.4. Build and establish floating rafts in the Volga delta.

3.1. Census the colonies.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change at key wetland sites.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted
mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.
**Turkey**

1.1. Promote improvement and updating of the National Red List of Threatened Animals.

1.3./2.2.2. Encourage measures to stop drainage and water extraction and/or water diversion and other damage at Turkish wetlands.

1.3./2.2.5. Prevent pollution in the catchment areas of the wetlands.

2.1.1. Promote the designation of wintering sites as protected areas.

2.1.2. Promote improvement and publicise the hunting legislation and secure better enforcement.

2.1.3. Encourage improved wardening of the colonies.

2.2.2. Improve the water management of each wetland and secure the minimum habitat requirements for the survival of the species.

3.1. Census the colonies.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change at key wetland sites.

4.1. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.

**Ukraine**

1.3./2.2.3. Promote measures to stop drainage and water extraction and/or water diversion at the remaining wetlands with breeding colonies.

2.1.1. Encourage the designation of Lake Kugurlui as a protected area.

2.1.3. Encourage establishment of a non-intrusion zone around all colonies during the breeding period.

3.1. Census the breeding colonies.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change at key wetland sites.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.

**Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia only)**
2.1.1. Promote implementation and enforcement of the existing law relevant to the National Park of Lake Skadar.

2.1.3. Prevent disturbance at the colony in spring.

2.2.3. Through proper water management, ensure the spring flooding of Lake Skadar in order to favour fish spawning.

3.1. Census the colony.

3.2. Undertake midwinter counts.

3.3. Monitor ecological change.

3.9. Carry out studies on feeding ecology in the breeding areas.

3.10. Carry out colour-ringing.

4.1./4.2. Undertake public awareness campaigns and training at all key sites, targeted mainly at hunters, fishermen and local communities.
The Dalmatian pelican (Pelecanus crispus) is the most massive member of the pelican family, and perhaps the world's largest freshwater bird, although rivaled in weight and length by the largest swans. They are elegant soaring birds, with wingspans that rival that of the great albatrosses, and their flocks fly in graceful synchrony. With a range spanning across much of Central Eurasia, from the Mediterranean in the West to the Taiwan Strait in the East, and from the Persian Gulf in the South to Siberia The Dalmatian Pelican Pelecanus crispus is classified by IUCN as globally threatened in the category Vulnerable (Groombridge 1993), and this is unchanged under the new criteria (Category C2a: small population and declining with severe fragmentation) (Collar et al. 1994, Mace and Stuart 1994). At the European level it is considered Vulnerable (Tucker and Heath 1994). It is therefore necessary to implement the plan not only in Europe, but over the whole distribution of the species. The wintering sites of the Dalmatian Pelican in south-east Europe, Turkey and former U.S.S.R. are well-known (Crivelli et al. 1991a), but this does not apply to the Middle East (Iran, Iraq) or Asia (Pakistan, India, China) (Crivelli et al. 1991b), and several sites in these areas probably remain to be discovered. Dalmatian Pelican (Pelecanus crispus) is a large waterbird which has populations using three flyways respectively, including Black Sea – Mediterranean flyway, West and Southwest Asia flyway and East Asian-Australasian Flyway. The East Asian population of Dalmatian Pelican breed in Mongolia and spend the non-breeding period in China. Successful conservation measures in Europe has led the recovery of Dalmatian pelican populations in western and central part of its breeding range. Action Plan and membership will be further developed and the TF is tentatively planning the next meeting to be held in China and increase its membership by inviting other key conservation partners in the region. Dalmatian Pelican Task Force Group Photo (© Vivian Fu/EAAFP).