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Welcome to the 2013 BFI Statistical Yearbook. Compiled by the Research and Statistics Unit, this Yearbook presents the most comprehensive picture of film in the UK and the performance of British films abroad during 2012. This publication is one of the ways the BFI delivers on its commitment to evidence-based policy for film. Today, viewing choices are not limited to the big screen and a single small screen in the home. Audiences have access to a plethora of film viewing opportunities both at home and on the move. For online Video on Demand (VoD) film services, 2012 was a significant year as the emergence of Netflix and LOVEFiLM Instant boosted the market by 123% on 2011 and established the subscription VoD model as an alternative to renting or purchasing.