In an early chapter of their book ‘The Idea of the Digital University’, Frank McCluskey and Melanie Winters quote the playwright Eugene Ionesco, ‘all history is a succession of crises, rupture, repudiations, resistances’. The authors’ larger idea here is that the 21st-century university is also not exempt from the current socio-technological crisis and rupture occurring through the present digital revolution. The book conducts an exploration of the relatively new phenomenon of the digital online university through perspectives of ancient traditions, disruptive technologies, and current debates occurring in the field of higher education. What is really to be enjoyed in this book is the text’s wide berth, situating the 21st-century online university in a wide historical trajectory and within ongoing present discussions. Larger questions that the book grapples with surround how the institution of the traditional university is transforming into larger sets of digital assets to be managed — how and by whom?

Erudite, balanced and measured, McCluskey’s philosophy/academic administration background is in evidence, examining the online university from perspectives ranging from McLuhan and the Toronto School of Harold Innis’s Empire and Communication, to classic philosophical landscapes (Plato, Aristotle, Kant). The book also importantly situates the online university in the context of ‘learning’ and within current technological possibility. The text traverses a historical evolution of the university, ranging from histories of American universities, both the ivy leagues and recent for-profits, to the historical foundations of the institutions at Oxford and the Sorbonne, and to the completely new digital entrants. Present developments of the 21st-century university as database are also explored, including the wealth of digital asset, data and media to be managed, mined, explored and organised. To be sure, this is a fair and balanced text containing a multiplicity of views and offering plenty of room to reflect on the complex debates currently occurring on our campuses. Winter’s background adds the lesser-known ground of the digital transformation of the university registrar’s office, university libraries, student funding and various operational divisions, all explored and interlinked through the technological paradigm shift occurring.
Various sections of this text are worth bookmarking: big data, analytics and the online classroom especially are drawn in careful interrelation. The authors’ division of university governance into ‘bureaucracy-centred’, ‘learning-centred’ or ‘teacher-centred’ is also useful for anyone coming to grips with new academic technology possibilities and how to manage the digital assets now contained therein. The book is highly readable: informed and eloquent while not shying away from current contentious debate. Accreditation and online versus face-to-face teaching are all examined from various perspectives. The authors also ask near the book’s end ‘What of those teaching moments that cannot be analytically measured or that may be lost? How much can be automated and what must remain for a university to retain its soul?’ (p. 174). Quoting Yeats, the authors comment, ‘Education is not the filling of a pail but the lighting of a fire’. The book is highly recommended for those interested in the future of education and our universities.
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McClusky, F. & Winter, M (2013) The Idea of the Digital University: Ancient Traditions, Disruptive Technologies and the Battle for the Soul of Higher Education. Washington, DC: Westphalia Press. These two authors, who together have spent more than 70 years in higher education, are optimistic about the future of the university with one caveat. The university must come to grips with the way the digital revolution has changed the acquisition, storage and transmission of information. Can the university adapt to these changes and still remain true to its essential mission? This book seeks to pr